MAT Gravity Theory Paper

Matter–Anchored Gravity (MAT): A Scalar Field Theory Based on $\Phi = 2 - R$

Author: Mohammadreza Tabatabaei Location: Iran

Abstract

This paper proposes a gravity framework in which gravity is represented by a fundamental scalar field Φ defined as $\Phi = 2 - R$, where R is the Ricci scalar known from general relativity. In contrast to Einstein's formulation where spacetime curvature dictates gravitational phenomena, this Matter–Anchored Gravity (MAT) theory posits that the scalar field Φ is directly coupled to matter and its intrinsic properties, acting as the mediator of gravitational interactions. This approach suggests that gravity is not merely a geometric property of spacetime but rather a consequence of a scalar field that is anchored to the presence and distribution of matter. We will explore the fundamental postulates of MAT, derive its field equations, and discuss potential implications for cosmological observations and fundamental physics. The theory aims to provide a unified description of gravity that naturally incorporates the concept of a scalar field without recourse to complex geometric constructs beyond the Ricci scalar.

---

1. Introduction

General Relativity (GR), Einstein's revolutionary theory of gravity, describes gravitational phenomena as the curvature of spacetime caused by the presence of mass and energy. While incredibly successful in explaining a vast array of cosmological observations, GR has faced challenges at extreme scales, such as the singularities predicted within black holes and the early universe, and the ongoing mysteries of dark matter and dark energy.

This paper introduces a novel approach to gravity, termed Matter–Anchored Gravity (MAT). In MAT, we propose that gravity is fundamentally a scalar field, denoted by Φ. Crucially, this scalar field is directly linked to the Ricci scalar R of spacetime through the defining relation:

$$\Phi = 2 - R$$

This definition implies that the gravitational field is not solely a passive consequence of spacetime geometry, but rather an active scalar field whose behavior is intrinsically tied to the distribution and properties of matter. The term "Matter–Anchored" signifies this direct connection, suggesting that the scalar field's manifestations are fundamentally dependent on the presence of matter.

The motivation behind this formulation stems from the desire to explore alternative gravity theories that might offer new insights into the aforementioned challenges in GR. By framing gravity as a scalar field, we can potentially leverage established methods from quantum field theory and explore new avenues for unification. The specific form of the scalar field definition, $\Phi = 2 - R$, is chosen to connect the scalar field directly to a well-established invariant of spacetime geometry within GR, providing a bridge between existing frameworks and the new theory.

This paper will outline the foundational principles of MAT, derive the relevant field equations, and discuss the potential observable consequences. We will begin by defining the action principle for MAT and then proceed to derive the equations of motion for the scalar field and the gravitational field.

---

2. Foundations of Matter–Anchored Gravity (MAT)

The core of MAT lies in the definition of the gravitational scalar field Φ and its relationship to the Ricci scalar R.

2.1 The Scalar Field Φ

The scalar field Φ is defined as:

$$\Phi(x) = 2 - R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is the Ricci scalar at spacetime point x. This definition establishes a direct link between the scalar field and the curvature of spacetime. When the Ricci scalar is large (indicating significant spacetime curvature, as in the vicinity of massive objects), the scalar field Φ will be small. Conversely, regions of low spacetime curvature will correspond to larger values of Φ. The constant '2' in the definition is a normalization factor that will be determined by the consistency of the theory and its ability to reproduce known physics.

2.2 The Action Principle

We propose a gravitational action for MAT that includes the kinetic term for the scalar field and a coupling term to matter. The gravitational part of the action, S_G, can be written as:

$$S_G = \int d^4x \quad -g \left[\frac{M_{Pl}^2}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi)\right]$$

Here, M_{Pl} is the Planck mass, and $V(\Phi)$ is a potential for the scalar field. We will assume a simple potential for now, potentially $V(\Phi) = \Lambda$, where Λ is a cosmological constant-like term. The kinetic term is standard for a scalar field.

The matter content of the universe is described by its own action, S_M. In MAT, the scalar field Φ is not just an independent field; it is intrinsically linked to the Ricci scalar, which in turn is determined by the stress-energy tensor of matter. This intrinsic coupling implies that the matter action itself will implicitly influence the scalar field's dynamics through the Ricci scalar.

However, to explicitly incorporate the "Matter–Anchored" aspect, we can consider a scenario where the scalar field directly couples to the trace of the stress-energy tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}$. A common approach in scalar-tensor theories is to have the scalar field multiply the Einstein-Hilbert term. In MAT, the definition $\Phi = 2 - R$ means that $R = 2 - \Phi$. Substituting this into the Einstein-Hilbert term would lead to a modification of the gravitational constant.

Let's consider an action that incorporates this idea. We will start with a modified Einstein-Hilbert action where the gravitational coupling is mediated by Φ. A general scalar-tensor theory can be written as:

$$S = \int d^4x \quad -g \left[F(\Phi)R - G(\Phi)(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi) + \mathcal{L}_M(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi)\right]$$

where ψ represents matter fields.

In our case, the definition $\Phi = 2 - R$ implies a specific relationship between $F(\Phi)$ and R. If we try to write an action where Φ is an independent field and R is derived, we face a challenge. The definition $\Phi = 2 - R$ is an equation of state for the scalar field, not necessarily an independent field in the action in the usual sense.

A more direct interpretation of $\Phi = 2 - R$ within an action formulation is to consider it as a constraint that the Ricci scalar must satisfy, or a specific form of coupling. Let's reconsider the Ricci scalar:

$$R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci curvature tensor.

If we define the action based on Φ and then derive R from the matter distribution, we need to ensure consistency.

Let's assume that the fundamental gravitational action is one that leads to the relationship $\Phi = 2 - R$. Consider the Einstein-Hilbert action with a scalar field:

$$S_{EH} = \int d^4x \quad -g \left[R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) - V(\phi)\right]$$

If we enforce the condition $\phi = 2 - R$, this implies that the scalar field is not truly independent.

A more direct approach might be to consider an action where the gravitational sector is defined in terms of Φ and the matter stress-energy tensor. The definition $\Phi = 2 - R$ implies that $R = 2 - \Phi$. Let's consider the action in the Einstein frame where the Ricci scalar is R_{EH}. If we transform to the Jordan frame where the scalar field has a different coupling, this might be where Φ naturally arises.

However, sticking to the direct definition provided: Let the fundamental gravitational degrees of freedom be represented by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the scalar field Φ. The relation $\Phi = 2 - R$ implies that Φ is not an independent dynamic field in the conventional sense but is directly determined by the spacetime geometry dictated by matter.

Let's consider the total action as:

$$S_{total} = S_G(\Phi, R) + S_M$$

where S_G is the gravitational action that inherently relates Φ and R.

A possible starting point for S_G that respects $\Phi = 2 - R$ is to consider an action where the Ricci scalar term is replaced by a function of Φ. If $\Phi = 2 - R$, then $R = 2 - \Phi$. Let's consider the gravitational action as:

$$S_G = \int d^4x \quad -g \left[f(\Phi)R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial_\nu \Phi) - U(\Phi) \right]$$

where $f(\Phi)$ and $U(\Phi)$ are functions to be determined.

If we enforce $\Phi = 2 - R$, then $R = 2 - \Phi$. This suggests that the term $f(\Phi)R$ needs to be carefully constructed. If we interpret $\Phi = 2 - R$ as a condition that must hold, it means that the Ricci scalar is not an independent quantity that can be varied to obtain field equations for the metric. Instead, the metric must be such that the resulting Ricci scalar satisfies this relation.

Let's consider a framework where the scalar field is primary and the Ricci scalar is defined in terms of it. If we propose an action like:

$$S = \int d^4x \quad -g \left[\frac{1}{2} K(\Phi)R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi) \right] + S_M$$

where $K(\Phi)$ is some function. If we want $\Phi = 2 - R$, this implies a specific structure for $K(\Phi)$ and $V(\Phi)$ and how they relate to the matter content S_M.

A more direct interpretation for "$\Phi = 2 - R$" is that the scalar field Φ itself is the gravitational potential, and its value is directly determined by the Ricci scalar of the spacetime it inhabits. This implies a constraint equation.

Let's postulate the field equations directly from the definition of Φ and the principle of least action. The action for gravity and matter in MAT can be written as:

$$S_{MAT} = \int d^4x \quad -g\left[\mathcal{L}_{grav}(\Phi, R) + \mathcal{L}_M\right]$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{grav}$ is the gravitational Lagrangian density.

Given $\Phi = 2 - R$, we can express R in terms of Φ as $R = 2 - \Phi$. Let's consider a simple gravitational Lagrangian that incorporates this:

$$\mathcal{L}_{grav} = c_1 \Phi R - c_2(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants, and $V(\Phi)$ is a potential. If we substitute $R = 2 - \Phi$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{grav} = c_1 \Phi(2 - \Phi) - c_2(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{grav} = 2c_1 \Phi - c_1 \Phi^2 - c_2(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi)$$

This form suggests that the original Ricci scalar term from GR is modified. The field equations are obtained by varying the action with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ and Φ.

Varying with respect to Φ:

$$\frac{\delta S_{MAT}}{\delta \Phi} = \int d^4x \quad -g\left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{grav}}{\delta \Phi} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Phi}\right] = 0$$

From $\mathcal{L}{grav} = 2c_1 \Phi - c_1 \Phi^2 - c_2 (\partial\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi)$:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{grav}}{\delta \Phi} = 2c_1 - 2c_1\Phi - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi)\frac{\delta c_2}{\delta \Phi} - \frac{1}{2} \quad -g g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Phi - V'(\Phi)$$

This variation needs to be done more carefully considering the kinetic term. The variation of $\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial_\nu \Phi)$ with respect to Φ is $\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu (\delta \Phi) / (\delta \Phi)$.

A standard variation of a scalar field Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = X(\Phi)R - Y(\Phi)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi - Z(\Phi)$ with respect to Φ yields:

$$X'(\Phi)R - Y'(\Phi)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi - 2Y(\Phi)\Box\Phi - Z'(\Phi) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Phi}$$

In our case, if we write the action as:

$$S = \int d^4x \quad -g \left[(2 - \Phi)R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi) \right] + S_M$$

Here, $X(\Phi) = 2 - \Phi$, $Y(\Phi) = 1/2$, and $Z(\Phi) = V(\Phi)$. This implies $X'(\Phi) = -1$. The variation w.r.t. Φ gives:

$$-R - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial_\nu \Phi) \cdot 0 - 2(\frac{1}{2})\Box\Phi - V'(\Phi) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Phi}$$

$$-R - \Box\Phi - V'(\Phi) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Phi}$$

Using the constraint $R = 2 - \Phi$:

$$-(2 - \Phi) - \Box\Phi - V'(\Phi) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Phi}$$

$$\Phi - 2 - \Box\Phi - V'(\Phi) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Phi}$$

This is the field equation for Φ.

Now, we need to consider the variation with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The variation of the Einstein-Hilbert term R is $\delta (\sqrt{-g} R) = \sqrt{-g} (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R) \delta g^{\mu\nu}$. The variation of the matter Lagrangian is $\delta (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}M) = \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} T} \delta g^{\mu\nu}$, where $T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{LM)}{\delta g$. The variation of the kinetic term for Φ: $\delta (\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi) = \sqrt{-g} [- \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \Phi \partial_\beta \Phi \delta g^{\mu\nu} + 2 g^{\alpha(\mu} \partial_\alpha \Phi \partial^{\nu)} \Phi \delta g_{\mu\nu}]$. The variation of the potential $V(\Phi)$ with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ is zero, as V is a function of Φ only.}

Let's use the action:

$$S = \int d^4x \quad -g \left[(2 - \Phi)R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial^\mu \Phi) - V(\Phi) + \mathcal{L}_M \right]$$

Varying with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$-g \left[(2 - \Phi)(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha \Phi)(\partial^\alpha \Phi) \right) \right] + -g\frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu} =$$

Dividing by $\sqrt{-g}$:

$$(2-\Phi)(R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi+\frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial_\alpha\Phi)(\partial^\alpha\Phi)+\frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu}=0$$

We also have the constraint equation from varying Φ:

$$\Phi-2-\Box\Phi-V'(\Phi)=\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Phi}$$

This equation relates the Ricci scalar (via $R = 2 - \Phi$) to the scalar field Φ and the matter content. The trace of the metric variation equation is:

$$(2-\Phi)R-\frac{3}{2}(\partial_\alpha\Phi)(\partial^\alpha\Phi)+\frac{1}{2}T=0$$

Substituting $R = 2 - \Phi$:

$$(2-\Phi)^2-\frac{3}{2}(\partial_\alpha\Phi)(\partial^\alpha\Phi)+\frac{1}{2}T=0$$

This equation provides a direct relationship between the scalar field, its kinetic energy, and the trace of the stress-energy tensor.

The field equations of MAT are thus: 1. Scalar Field Equation:

$$\Box\Phi+V'(\Phi)-\Phi+2=-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\Phi)(\partial^\mu\Phi)\frac{\delta c_2}{\delta\Phi}-\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Phi}$$

```
If we take $c_2=1/2$ and $V(\Phi)$ is a constant $V_0$, then:
```

$$\Box\Phi+V_0'-\Phi+2=\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Phi}$$

```
If $V(\Phi)$ is a constant, $V'(\Phi)=0$:
```

$$\Box\Phi-\Phi+2=\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Phi}$$

```
This equation dictates the dynamics of the scalar field, which is sour
```

1. Metric Field Equation (Tensor Equation):

$$(2-\Phi)(R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R)=-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi+\frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial_\alpha\Phi)(\partial^\alpha\Phi)-\frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu}$$

```
This equation describes how the matter and the scalar field $\Phi$ cur
```

2.3 The "Matter–Anchored" Aspect

The name "Matter–Anchored Gravity" stems from the fact that the scalar field Φ is defined via the Ricci scalar R, which is directly determined by the distribution of matter and energy through the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. The field equations explicitly show $T_{\mu\nu}$ sourcing the curvature, and thus indirectly influencing Φ. Furthermore, the factor $(2-\Phi)$ in the metric field equations implies that the effective gravitational coupling strength is modulated by the scalar field itself, which is in turn anchored to the matter distribution.

In regions where matter is dense, R is large, leading to a small Φ. This small Φ then affects the strength of spacetime curvature as seen in the metric field equations. Conversely, in empty space, R is small, leading to a larger Φ, which would modify the gravitational field in the absence of matter.

---

3. Implications and Predictions

The MAT theory, with its unique definition of the gravitational scalar field, suggests several potential implications for our understanding of gravity and the cosmos.

3.1 Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy

The structure of the scalar field equation:

$$\Box\Phi - \Phi + 2 = S_\Phi$$

where S_Φ represents the source term from matter, hints at potential connections to dark energy. If the scalar field Φ can acquire a constant background value, this could mimic a cosmological constant. Specifically, if in a homogeneous and isotropic universe, Φ settles to a constant Φ_0, then the $\Box \Phi$ term vanishes. The equation becomes:

$$-\Phi_0 + 2 = S_\Phi$$

If $S_\Phi = 0$ in a vacuum, then $\Phi_0 = 2$. This constant value of Φ in vacuum would then influence the expansion of the universe through the metric field equations. The metric field equation's trace is:

$$(2-\Phi)R + \frac{3}{2}(\partial_\alpha \Phi)(\partial^\alpha \Phi) = \frac{1}{2}T$$

If $\Phi = \Phi_0 = 2$ (constant) and $T=0$ (vacuum), then:

$$(2-2)R + 0 = 0$$

$$0 = 0$$

This seems to imply that the vacuum solution for $\Phi=2$ leads to no curvature ($R=0$), which is problematic as it suggests no gravitational effects in vacuum. This indicates that the constant '2' in the definition $\Phi = 2 - R$ might not be simply a vacuum value.

Let's reconsider the constant '2'. If we assume that in the absence of matter and radiation, the Ricci scalar is R_{vac} and the scalar field is Φ_{vac}, then $\Phi_{vac} = 2 - R_{vac}$. If we further assume that in this vacuum state, Φ is constant, then $\Box \Phi = 0$. The scalar field equation becomes:

$$-\Phi_{vac} + 2 - V'(\Phi_{vac}) = 0$$

And the trace of the metric equation:

$$(2-\Phi_{vac})R_{vac} = 0$$

If $R_{vac} \neq 0$, then $2-\Phi_{vac} = 0$, which means $\Phi_{vac} = 2$. Substituting $\Phi_{vac} = 2$ into the scalar equation:

$$-2 + 2 - V'(2) = 0$$

$$V'(2) = 0$$

This suggests that if the vacuum scalar field value is $\Phi_{vac}=2$, then $R_{vac}=0$. This would imply that gravity in vacuum is absent, which contradicts observations.

The role of the constant '2' and the potential $V(\Phi)$ needs careful calibration to ensure consistency with known physics, particularly the cosmological constant problem and the behavior of gravity in empty spacetime. A non-zero cosmological constant in GR arises from a constant term in the stress-energy tensor, or equivalently, a constant potential for a scalar field in certain formulations. In MAT, the $\Phi = 2 - R$ definition might intrinsically embed a vacuum energy density, depending on the vacuum expectation value of Φ.

If we interpret $R = 2 - \Phi$ as a definition that must hold for any spacetime, then the field equations derived from the action are:

$$(2-\Phi)(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial_\alpha\Phi)(\partial^\alpha\Phi) - \frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu}$$

and

$$\Box\Phi - \Phi + 2 - V'(\Phi) = \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Phi}$$

Consider a homogeneous and isotropic universe described by the Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) metric. For a perfect fluid with energy density ρ and pressure p, the trace of the stress-energy tensor is $T = \rho - 3p$. The Ricci scalar in FLRW is $R = 6(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + (\frac{\dot{a}}{a})^2)$, where $a(t)$ is the scale factor. The scalar field equation becomes:

$$\ddot{\Phi} + 3H\dot{\Phi} - \Phi + 2 - V'(\Phi) = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Phi}$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter. The trace equation is:

$$(2-\Phi)R + \frac{3}{2}(\dot{\Phi})^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 3p)$$

Substituting R for FLRW:

$$(2-\Phi)[6(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + (\frac{\dot{a}}{a})^2)] + \frac{3}{2}(\dot{\Phi})^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 3p)$$

If Φ settles to a constant Φ_0 in late times (approaching a de Sitter-like universe), then $\dot{\Phi}=0$ and $\ddot{\Phi}=0$. The scalar field equation:

$$-\Phi_0 + 2 - V'(\Phi_0) = 0$$

The trace equation:

$$(2-\Phi_0)R_{vac} = 0$$

If we want a non-zero cosmological constant, we require $R_{vac} \neq 0$. This implies $2 - \Phi_0 = 0$, so $\Phi_0 = 2$. Then, $-\Phi_0 + 2 - V'(\Phi_0) = 0$ becomes $-2 + 2 - V'(2) = 0$, so $V'(2) = 0$. If $\Phi_0 = 2$, then $R_{vac} = 0$

from the trace equation in vacuum. This still leads to a potential issue of having no residual gravitational effect in vacuum.

This suggests that the potential $V(\Phi)$ or the scalar field sourcing might play a more complex role in the vacuum energy. Alternatively, the "constant" 2 in $ \Phi = 2 - R$ might be related to the vacuum energy density itself, or it might not be a universal constant but depend on fundamental constants in a way that yields a non-zero cosmological constant in vacuum.

3.2 Dark Matter

The presence of a scalar field that is intrinsically linked to matter could potentially offer an alternative explanation for phenomena attributed to dark matter. If the scalar field Φ has a specific behavior in galactic halos, for instance, it might induce gravitational effects that mimic the presence of unseen mass. The "anchored" nature of Φ to matter means that its distribution would naturally follow that of baryonic matter, albeit with potentially different dynamics.

3.3 Modified Gravity at Short Distances

In regions of very strong gravity, where R is exceptionally large (e.g., near singularities), the scalar field Φ becomes very small. This suggests that the gravitational interaction might become significantly weaker or change its nature in these extreme environments, potentially resolving the singularity problem in black holes and at the Big Bang.

---

4. Conclusion

Matter–Anchored Gravity (MAT) presents a novel framework for understanding gravity by proposing it as a fundamental scalar field $\Phi = 2 - R$, directly coupled to the Ricci scalar R. This approach frames gravity as being "anchored" to the distribution of matter. The theory's field equations, derived from a scalar-tensor action, offer potential avenues for explaining cosmological puzzles like dark energy and dark matter, and possibly resolving issues with spacetime singularities.

The critical constant '2' in the definition of Φ, along with the scalar field potential $V(\Phi)$, are key parameters that require further investigation and fine-tuning to ensure consistency with established astrophysical and cosmological observations. Future work will focus on detailed cosmological solutions, predictions for gravitational lensing, and comparisons with alternative gravity theories. The MAT theory offers a promising new perspective on the fundamental nature of gravity, rooted in a direct scalar field interaction dictated by spacetime curvature.
